

Some Answers on: Undecidability

- G1: (a) Suppose we can decide if two PDAs accept the same language. Then on input PDA M , build a simple PDA N that accepts all strings of that alphabet. Then test whether M and N accept the same strings. This answers the question of whether M accepts all strings. Which contradicts what is known.
- (b) Take N and convert to Chomsky Normal Form. Create all strings in increasing order of length, checking each to see if generated by N . Stop and accept if find a string **not** generated by N .
- (c) No.
- G2: (a) False. (S_{tm} is not even r.e.)
- (b) True. (Since FAs are guaranteed to halt, we can simulate them.)
- (c) True. (Since a program can be represented by a finite string.)
- (d) True.
- G3: Assume that L is recursive (but neither empty nor Σ^*). Then L is accepted by some TM M that always halts. Let x be any string in L , let y be any string in \bar{L} . Then the reduction is: “On input w , run M on w . If M accepts w then output y , else output x .”
- Assume that L is the language of TM M and that L reduces to \bar{L} by reduction f . Then the decider for L is: “On input w , calculate $f(w)$. Run M on both w and $f(w)$ in parallel. If M accepts w then accept; if M accepts $f(w)$ then reject.” This works, since if $w \in L$, then M will halt and accept w ; and if $w \notin L$, then $f(w) \in L$, so M will halt and accept $f(w)$.
- G4: (a) Acceptance problem for TM.
- (b) Does not exist.
- (c) The integers
- (d) The real numbers
- (e) $0^n 1^n 2^n$