# A very brief introduction to Graph Theory (minus the proofs)
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1 The Basics

1.1 Graphs

A (simple) graph is a collection of vertices and edges such that each edge joins two vertices. People sometimes allow multiple edges between vertices (for example, to represent double-bonds) or loops (edges both of whose ends are the same vertex), but we exclude those here—that is the meaning of “simple” in simple graph.

A walk is a sequence of vertices such that consecutive vertices are joined by an edge. The length of a walk is the number of edges on it. A path is a walk without repeated vertices. A cycle is a walk of at least three edges without repeated vertices except that the first and last vertex are the same. The terms path and cycle also refer to the specific graphs that have that structure.

Two vertices are connected if there is a walk between them. Being-connected is an equivalence relation; the equivalence classes form the components of the graph. A graph is connected if there is only one component. A tree is a graph that is connected and contains no cycle. Here is a graph with three components: a cycle and two trees (one of which is a path).

A subgraph of a graph $G$ is a graph that contains some of the edges and some of the vertices of the graph $G$. A subgraph is a spanning subgraph if it contains all the vertices of the original graph. An induced subgraph is one which contains all possible edges for those vertices.

Two graphs are isomorphic if there is a bijection between the vertex sets that preserves the edges.
1.2 Degrees and Trees

The **degree** of a vertex is the number of edges coming out of it. A graph is **regular** if all vertices have the same degree. The following is sometimes called the “First Theorem of Graph Theory”:

**Lemma 1.1** Suppose the graph has \( n \) vertices and \( a \) edges. Suppose the degrees of the graph are \( d_1, \ldots, d_n \). Then \( \sum_{i=1}^{n} d_i = 2a \).

This is a double-counting argument: the two sides of the equation count the same quantity, namely “ends of edges”. As a consequence we get that in any graph, the number of vertices of odd degree is even.

A **leaf** is a vertex of degree 1.

**Lemma 1.2** (a) Between any two vertices in a tree there is a unique path.
(b) Removing any edge disconnects the tree.
(c) If a tree has \( n \) vertices, then it has \( n - 1 \) edges.
(d) If a tree has at least two vertices, then it has at least two leaves.

1.3 Example Graphs

As a graph, we use \( P_n \) to denote the **path** on \( n \) vertices and \( C_n \) to denote the **cycle** on \( n \) vertices. The **complete graph** \( K_n \) on \( n \) vertices is the graph where every pair of vertices are joined by an edge. The **complete bipartite graph** \( K_{r,s} \), for positive integers \( r \) and \( s \), has \( r + s \) vertices, split into two groups with \( r \) vertices on one side and \( s \) vertices on the other, and all edges are present between the two sides. A **star** is \( K_{1,n-1} \). More generally, a **complete multipartite graph** is a simple graph where the vertex set can be partitioned into subsets such that two vertices are adjacent if and only if they are in different subsets. Here are \( K_4 \) and \( K_{3,3} \).
The **Petersen graph** has 10 vertices, is 3-regular, and the shortest cycle has length 5. The **hypercube** $Q_q$ of dimension $q$ has $2^q$ vertices. Each vertex corresponds to a bit string (meaning 0’s and 1’s) of length $q$. Two vertices are joined by an edge if their corresponding strings differ in exactly one bit. Each vertex therefore has degree $q$. We can also define $Q_q$ recursively: To form $Q_q$, take two copies of $Q_{q-1}$ and join each pair of corresponding vertices by an edge. Here is the Petersen graph and $Q_3$.

![Petersen graph and Q3](image)

### 1.4 Bipartite Graphs

We saw already the complete bipartite graph. In general, a graph is **bipartite** if one can partition the vertices into two sets, such that each edge has an end in each set. A tree is bipartite. To color a tree: pick a root, color the root say red, color its children say blue, color their children (the root’s grandchildren) red, and so on alternating; every edge has one red end and one blue end.

**Theorem 1.3** A connected graph is bipartite if and only if every cycle has even length.

The hypercube is bipartite.
2 Paths, Cycles, and Connectivity

2.1 Eulerian Graphs

For a famous example of a problem, consider the problem of drawing a picture without lifting your pen and without going over the same line more than once. An Euler tour is a walk that goes along every edge exactly once, and ends up where one started. This is like the continuous pen drawing, except with the added requirement that one ends at the same place one begins.

\textbf{Theorem 2.1} A connected graph has an Euler tour if and only if every vertex has even degree.

Note that there are two things to prove: that if the graph has an Euler tour, then every vertex has even degree; and if every vertex has even degree, then the graph has an Euler tour.

2.2 Cycles and Hamiltonian Graphs

A Hamilton cycle is a cycle that visits every vertex exactly once. That is, a Hamilton cycle is a spanning cycle. Similarly, a Hamilton path is a path that visits every vertex exactly once. This idea sounds similar to Euler, but not really. No simple characterization of when a graph has a Hamilton cycle is known. Indeed, it is strongly believed that such a characterization does not exist, since it has been shown to be \textit{NP-complete}.

The complete graph \(K_n\) has a Hamilton cycle for \(n \geq 3\); the complete bipartite graph \(K_{r,s}\) has a Hamilton cycle if and only if \(r = s \geq 2\). The hypercube has a Hamilton cycle; indeed, Hamilton cycles in the hypercube are called \textit{Gray codes}, and are important in communication. Here is one sufficient condition and one necessary condition.

\textbf{Theorem 2.2} (a) Let \(G\) be a graph with \(n\) vertices. If every vertex has degree at least \(n/2\), then \(G\) has a Hamilton cycle.
(b) If graph $G$ has a Hamilton cycle, then for every set $S$ of vertices, the number of components of $G - S$ is at most $|S|$.

The converse of this theorem is false.

The **girth** of a graph is the length of the shortest cycle. The **circumference** is the length of the longest cycle. A graph is **triangle-free** if its girth is at least 4.

**Theorem 2.3** For a triangle-free simple graph with $n$ vertices, the maximum number of edges is $\lfloor n^2/4 \rfloor$.

In general, the maximum number of edges in a graph which has no $K_{k+1}$ is achieved by taking a complete $k$-partite graph with as equal parts as possible.

### 2.3 Connectivity

The **connectivity** $\kappa(G)$ of graph $G$ is the minimum number of vertices whose removal disconnects the graph, except that we define $\kappa(K_n) = n - 1$. The **edge-connectivity** $\kappa'(G')$ is the smallest cardinality of a disconnecting set of edges, except that we define $\kappa'(K_1) = 0$. For example, the hypercube $Q_k$ has connectivity $k$. A graph with $\kappa(G) \geq k$ is called $k$-**connected**.

A simple observation is that if a graph is $k$-connected, then every vertex has degree at least $k$ (since the removal of a vertex’s neighbors disconnects the graph). Indeed we have:

**Lemma 2.4** For any graph, $\kappa \leq \kappa' \leq \delta$ where $\delta$ denotes the minimum degree.

A **cut-vertex** is a vertex whose removal disconnects its component. A **cut-edge** (or bridge) is similar; an edge is a cut-edge if and only if it belongs to no cycle. A **block** is a maximal connected subgraph that has no cut-vertex.

**Theorem 2.5** [Whitney] A graph is $k$-connected if and only if there are $k$ internally disjoint (meaning no vertex in more than one path) paths between every pair of vertices.

A special case is that a graph is 2-connected if and only if for every pair of vertices there is a cycle containing them. Similar results hold for edge-connectivity.
2.4 Directed Graphs

A directed graph has directed edges/arcs; each arc goes from in-neighbor to out-neighbor. The in- or out-degree of a vertex is the numbers of edges going in or going out of the vertex. A source is a vertex with no in-arcs, and a sink is one with no out-arcs. An orientation of an undirected graph is obtained by orienting every edge.

A path in a digraph must respect the arrows. A directed graph is strongly connected if there is a path from every vertex to every other vertex. A DAG, directed acyclic graph, is a directed graph without directed cycles.

A tournament is an oriented $K_n$. Every tournament has a king: a vertex which can reach all other vertices with a path of length at most 2.

**Theorem 2.6 [Robbins]** A graph has an orientation that is strongly connected if and only if it is 2-edge-connected.

2.5 Distance and Searches

A rooted tree is a tree with one vertex designated the root. Rooted trees are normally drawn with the root at the top, and we talk of parents and children in the natural way.

A search of a graph is a systematic way of searching through the vertices for a specific vertex. The two standard searches are breadth-first search and depth-first search. The idea behind breadth-first search is to: Visit the source; then all its neighbors; then all their neighbors; and so on. The idea for depth-first search (DFS) is “labyrinth wandering”: keep exploring any new vertex from current vertex; when get stuck, backtrack to most recent vertex with unexplored neighbors.

The distance between two vertices is the minimum number of arcs/edges on path between them. For example, in a BFS in a graph, vertices are visited in order of their distance from the start. The eccentricity of a vertex is the maximum distance of a vertex from it. The radius is the minimum eccentricity. The center is those vertices of minimum eccentricity. The diameter is the maximum eccentricity.
A **weighted graph** has weights on the edges. In a weighted graph, the **weight** of a path is the sum of weights of arcs/edges. The **distance** between two vertices is the minimum weight of a path between them. One way to determine the distance between two vertices is to use Dijkstra’s algorithm.
3 Colorings, Matchings, and Graph Families

3.1 Vertex Colorings

A coloring of a graph means assigning colors to each vertex such that no edge joins two vertices of the same color. A $k$-coloring means a coloring that uses (at most) $k$ colors. A graph having a 2-coloring is the same thing as being bipartite. The chromatic number of a graph, denoted $\chi$, is the minimum number of colors needed for a coloring of the vertices.

Lemma 3.1 An even cycle has $\chi = 2$.
An odd cycle has $\chi = 3$.
The complete graph $K_n$ has $\chi = n$.

An independent set is a set of vertices such that no pair is adjacent. The independence number is the maximum size of an independent set. A vertex cover is a set of vertices intersecting all edges; a set is a vertex cover if and only if its complement is an independent set. A coloring is a partition into independent sets. A clique is a set of vertices such that every pair is adjacent. The clique number is the maximum size of a clique. Let $\Delta$ be the maximum degree of a vertex in a graph.

Lemma 3.2 If $G$ is a graph with clique number $\omega$, chromatic number $\chi$, and maximum degree $\Delta$, then $\omega \leq \chi \leq \Delta + 1$.

The upper bound proof is to use a greedy algorithm. Can be slightly improved:

Theorem 3.3 [Brooks] If $G$ is a connected graph that is not complete nor an odd cycle, then $\chi \leq \Delta$.

It is to be noted that, while testing for bipartiteness is easy, testing whether a graph has a 3-coloring appears to be much, much harder, since it is NP-complete.
3.2 Edge Colorings

The edge-chromatic number (or chromatic index) $\chi'$ of a graph is the minimum number of colors needed to color the edges such that no two edges that share a vertex have the same color. For example, $\chi'(K_n)$ is $n - 1$ if $n$ is even and $n$ otherwise.

It is immediate that $\chi'(G) \geq \Delta(G)$. König showed that if a graph is bipartite then $\chi'(G) = \Delta(G)$. Vizing and Gupta both showed that for any simple graph $\chi'(G) \leq \Delta + 1$.

3.3 Matchings

A matching is a set of edges no two of which touch. A perfect matching involves all the vertices. A vertex is saturated if it is the end of an edge in the matching. Given matching $M$, an $M$-augmenting path is a nontrivial path where (i) the edges alternate between in and out of $M$, and (ii) it starts and ends at an $M$-unsaturated vertex.

**Theorem 3.4** [Berge] A matching $M$ is maximum if and only if there is no $M$-augmenting path.

To prove existence of augmenting path if $M$ not maximum, consider the union of $M$ and the actual maximum matching. Berge’s theorem gives an algorithm to find a maximum matching in a bipartite graph.

**Theorem 3.5** [Hall] In a bipartite graph with one side $X$: there is a matching that saturates $X$ if and only if $|N(S)| \geq |S|$ for all $S \subseteq X$, where $N(S)$ denote the set of all vertices that have at least one neighbor in $S$.

Corollary: a regular bipartite graph can be decomposed into perfect matchings. Petersen’s theorem says that any cubic (3-regular) graph without a cut-edge has a perfect matching.
3.4 Planar Graphs

A **plane graph** is a graph drawn in the plane such that no pair of lines intersect. The graph divides the plane up into a number of regions called **faces**. A **planar graph** is one which has a plane drawing. An **outerplanar graph** is one that can be drawn in the plane with all the vertices on one face. For example, every tree is outerplanar. Here are plane drawings of $K_4$ and $K_{2,3}$, neither of which is outerplanar.

![Plane drawings of K4 and K2,3](image)

**Theorem 3.6** (a) *[Euler’s formula]* For connected plane graph with $n$ vertices, $a$ edges, and $f$ faces, one has $n - a + f = 2$

(b) For any plane graph on $n$ vertices and $a$ edges, $a \leq 3n - 6$.

Consequence: the complete graph $K_5$ is not planar. A **subdivision** of a graph is created by adding some number of new vertices (possibly none) on each edge.

**Theorem 3.7** *[Kuratowski’s Theorem]* A graph is planar if and only if it does not contain a subdivision of either $K_5$ or $K_{3,3}$.

The most famous theorem in this area is the 4-Color Theorem. This was one of the first major theorems to make extensive use of a computer. It is due to Appel, Haken, and Koch.

**Theorem 3.8** *[Four-Color Theorem]* If $G$ is a planar graph, then $\chi(G) \leq 4$.

3.5 Graph Operations

The **union** of two graphs is constructed by unioning their vertex sets and edge sets (throwing away duplicates). A **decomposition** of a graph is a partition of
the edges (each edge is in exactly one part of the partition). The **disjoint union** of two graphs is the union assuming their vertex sets are disjoint (and the result is disconnected).

The **complement** of a simple graph has the same vertex set but the missing edges. A graph is **self-complementary** if it is isomorphic to its complement (e.g. $P_4$ or $C_5$).

The **line graph** $L(G)$ of $G$ has as vertex set the edges of $G$, with two vertices of $L(G)$ adjacent iff the corresponding edges of $G$ share an end-vertex. For example, a matching in $G$ corresponds to an independent set in $L(G)$. A line graph has no **claw** (induced copy of $K_{1,3}$).

The **cartesian product** of graphs $G$ and $H$ with vertex sets $V(G)$ and $V(H)$ has as its vertex set all pairs $(u,v)$ of $V(G) \times V(H)$ with two pairs adjacent if the same in one coordinate and adjacent in the other. For example: hypercube $Q_k = Q_{k−1} \sqcap K_2$. Cute theorem: $\chi(G \sqcap H) = \max(\chi(G), \chi(H))$.  
