LIST OF TABLES

Page

4-1. Summary of traced paths …… 32

5.1. Summary of measured paths …… 56

5.2. Summary of measured performance …… 57

5.3. Average RTT in second with standard deviation based on time of day (EST) …… 57

5.4. Average throughput in Kbytes/sec with standard deviation based on time of day (EST) …… 58

5.5. Average TCP loss rates (%) with % standard deviation based on time of day (EST) …… 58

5.6. Hypothetical queue dynamics at the bottleneck router …… 70

5.7. Aggregate correlation indication metric results …… 71

5.8. P[sampledRTT(2) > windowAVGRTT(20)+std] with standard deviation …… 72

5.9. Correlation indication when the referenced event is the retransmission …… 74

5.10. Relative frequency of DCA congestion reactions (filtered decisions, unfiltered decisions)…… 96

5.11. Aggregate measured statistics …… 97

5.12. Aggregate results: throughput model results (lookAheadThreshold=1RTT) …… 97

5.13. Percentage of throughput degradation: mean (standard deviation) with 95% confidence interval … 98

5.14. Aggregate results: throughput model results (lookAheadThreshold=W(p))…… 99

8.1. Assessment of the impact of TCP/DCA on end-to-end TCP performance …… 130

8.2. Impact of DCA on the Emory path …… 138

8.3. Impact of DCA on the network over the Emory path …… 138

8.4. Correlation indication metric results for the Emory simulation …… 139

8.5. Impact of DCA on the ASU path …… 141

8.6. Impact of DCA on the network over the ASU path …… 141

8.7. Reno-Reno baseline …… 143

8.8. Varying the *congestionReduction* level for the Emory model …… 143

8.9. Varying the *congestionReduction* level for the ASU model …… 143

8.10. Varying the *congestionDecisionFrequency* parameter for the Emory model …… 144

8.11. Varying the *congestionDecisionFrequency* parameter for the ASU model……144

8.12. Varying the (*x,w)* parameters for the Emory model ……...……...… 145

8.13. Varying the (*x,w)* parameters for the ASU model ……..…..……...……...… 145

9.1. Comparison of TCP/Vegas and TCP/Newreno with TCP/Reno …..…..……148

9.2. Comparison of TCP/Vegas-Reno and TCP/Newreno with TCP/Reno ...…….…….…….. 150

9.3. Performance of TCP/Vegas-CAM and TCP/Dual with respect to TCP/Reno…….…...…. 152

LIST OF FIGURES

Page

2.1. Relationship between throughput, round trip delay and power versus offered load ……..…….. 9

4.1. *pathchar* output between NCSU and emory.edu ……..…..…. 33

4.2. Packet pair analysis between emory.edu and ncsu.edu …… 34

4.3. Application delay during an HTTP GET web request at http://www.freebsd.org ….…...……...… 35

4.4. Illustration of *tcpRTT* samples ……..…….…..……..… 37

4.5. Transfer between NCSU and www.nikhef.nl ….…..…….……...…39

4.6. Expanded view of transfer between NCSU and www.nikhef.nl ……..… 40

4.7. Sequence/Acknowledge plot of transfer between NCSU and www.nikhef.nl ……..……..…….. 40

4.8. Simple T1 simulation network ……...…….. 46

4.9. Three ftp TCP/Reno flows competing ……...…….……. 47

4.10. Detailed view of three ftp TCP/Reno flows competing ….……...……. 48

4.11. *ns* simulation run: loss conditioned delay correlation metric …….……..... 49

4.12. *ns* correlation simulation run: CDF metric ……..……...…. 50

4.13. *ns* correlation run with 3% random loss ……..……..…..… 51

4.14. *ns* correlation run with 3% random loss details ……..…….…...……. 52

4.15. Loss conditioned delay correlation metric for *ns* run with random loss…….…….. 53

4.16. CDF metric for *ns* run with random loss ……..…..…….……. 54

4.17. PDF metric for *ns* run with random loss …….. 54

5.1. Path 1 single run dynamics ……...……... 59

5.2. Details of path 1 single run dynamics …….. 60

5.3. Loss conditioned delay correlation delay for path 1 single run ……...…… 61

5.4. Loss correlated delay CDF for path 1 single run ……...…….…. 61

5.5. Loss conditioned correlation delay for path 3 single run …….…....…….. 62

5.6. Performance of a single run over the ASU path ……...……..... 64

5.7. Loss conditioned correlation delay over ASU run ……...…….…....….. 65

5.8. Loss conditioned CDF over ASU run ……...……...…… 66

5.9. Dynamics of single run over path 1 …….…….…….…….…..…..... 68

5.10. Dynamics of single run over path 1 ……..……..…… 69

5.11. Loss conditioned delay correlation metric for path 1…….…….……...…. 76

5.12. Loss conditioned delay correlation metric for path 2 …….…….…….…….. 76

5.13. Loss conditioned delay correlation metric for path 3 …….…….…….…..… 77

5.14. Loss conditioned delay correlation metric for path 4 …….……...……. 77

5.15. Loss conditioned delay correlation metric for path 5 ……..…..……..…..…….. 78

5.16a. Loss conditioned delay correlation metric for path 6 ……...…….…….……...……. 78

5.16b. Closer view of the metric for path 6 …….…….…….…….. 79

5.17. Loss conditioned delay correlation metric for path 7 …….…. 80

5.18.Loss conditioned delay CDF for path 1 ……...…….…..….. 82

5.19.Loss conditioned delay PDF for path 1 …….…….…….…….…82

5.20.Loss conditioned delay CDF for path 2 …….…..…….…..….. 83

5.21.Loss conditioned delay CDF for path 3 ……...…….…..….. 84

5.22.Loss conditioned delay CDF for path 4 …….…….....….. 84

5.23.Loss conditioned delay CDF for path 5 …….…….. 85

5.24.Loss conditioned delay CDF for path 6 ……..……..…..….. 84

5.25.Loss conditioned delay CDF for path 7 ……..……..…..….. 87

7.1. *Traceroute* between NCSU and emory.edu ……...……...……..…… 108

7.2. Concurrent ping between NCSU and Emory ……..…… 108

7.3. *Traceroute* between NCSU and ASU ……..…….…….. 109

7.4. Concurrent ping between NCSU and ASU ……. 110

7.5. Generic network model ……..……. 111

7.6. Example router nodes and background traffic sources and sinks ……...…… 111

7.7. Simulation model of NCSU to Emory path ……...…. 113

7.8. Measured Emory path results ……..…… 114

7.9. Simulated Emory path results ……...…….. 115

7.10.Loss conditioned delay correlation metric for Emory simulation ……..…… 116

7.11.Loss correlated CDF for Emory simulation ……..…….. 117

7.12.Network model of NCSU to ASU path …….…… 118

7.13.Measured dynamics over ASU path ……..…….…… 118

7.14.Simulated dynamics over ASU path ……...……...…….. 119

7.15Loss conditioned correlation delay metric for the simulated ASU run …….…….…..… 120

7.16.Loss conditioned CDF metric for the simulated ASU run …….....… 120

7.17.*TcpRTT* time series and bottleneck link queue for Emory simulation run …….……..……. 123

7.18.*TcpRTT* time series plotted with 2 bottleneck queues from ASU simulation run …..…...…..…….. 125

7.19.*TcpRTT* time series with more granular queue monitor interval for the ASU simulation ……..... 125

8.1. TCP/DCA simulation result over the Emory path ……..……. 129

8.2. TCP/Reno run over Emory path …….……..…… 133

8.3. TCP/DCA run over Emory path …….……..……..... 133

8.4. Reduction of loss rate as the amount of DCA traffic increases …….…..... 134

8.5. TCP/Reno run over ASU path …….……...……..136

8.6. TCP/DCA run over ASU path …….…….…..… 136

8.7. Loss conditioned correlation delay metric on aggregate Reno data using Emory model ……..….. 139

8.8. Loss conditioned correlation delay metric on aggregate DCA data using Emory model …..…… 140

9.1. Simulation results of Reno, Vegas-CAM and Dual over Emory path …… 151

9.2. TCP/Dual simulation results over Emory path ……... 154

9.3. TCP/Vegas simulation results over Emory path …….…… 155